

APPENDICES

Table 16: Children’s Demographics in California (2000)

County	Total Population	Percentage of Population Aged 0-17	County	Total Population	Percentage of Population Aged 0-17
Alameda	1,443,741	24.6%	Placer	248,399	26.5%
Alpine	1,208	22.8%	Plumas	20,824	22.7%
Amador	35,100	20.6%	Riverside	1,545,387	30.3%
Butte	203,171	24.0%	Sacramento	1,223,499	27.6%
Calaveras	40,554	22.8%	San Benito	53,234	32.2%
Colusa	18,804	31.6%	San Bernardino	1,709,434	32.3%
Contra Costa	948,816	26.5%	San Diego	2,813,833	25.7%
Del Norte	27,507	25.1%	San Francisco	776,733	14.5%
El Dorado	156,299	26.1%	San Joaquin	563,598	31.0%
Fresno	799,407	32.1%	San Luis Obispo	246,681	21.7%
Glenn	26,453	30.8%	San Mateo	707,161	22.9%
Humboldt	126,518	23.2%	Santa Barbara	399,347	24.9%
Imperial	142,361	31.4%	Santa Clara	1,682,585	24.7%
Inyo	17,945	24.4%	Santa Cruz	255,602	23.8%
Kern	661,645	31.9%	Shasta	163,256	26.1%
Kings	129,461	29.0%	Sierra	3,555	23.3%
Lake	58,309	24.1%	Siskiyou	44,301	24.0%
Lassen	33,828	21.8%	Solano	394,54	28.3%
Los Angeles	9,519,338	28.0%	Sonoma	458,614	24.5%
Madera	123,109	29.6%	Stanislaus	446,997	31.1%
Marin	247,289	20.3%	Sutter	78,930	29.0%
Mariposa	17,130	21.6%	Tehama	56,039	27.4%
Mendocino	86,265	25.5%	Trinity	13,022	22.8%
Merced	210,554	34.5%	Tulare	368,021	33.8%
Modoc	9,449	25.6%	Tuolumne	54,501	20.7%
Mono	12,853	23.0%	Ventura	753,197	28.4%
Monterey	401,762	28.4%	Yolo	168,660	25.2%
Napa	124,279	24.1%	Yuba	60,219	31.0%
Nevada	92,033	23.1%	California	33,871,648	27.3%
Orange	2,846,289	27.0%			

Source: U.S. Census 2000

Table 17: California Children Aged 0-17 by Race/Ethnicity (2002)

Race/Ethnicity	Number	Percentage
Asian/Pacific Islander	1,117,000	11.3%
African American	663,000	6.7%
Latino	4,335,000	43.9%
Caucasian	3,577,000	36.2%
Other (STPP Estimate)	184,000	1.9%
Total	9,876,000.....	100%

Source: California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, Current Population Report, March 2002 (See: www.dof.ca.gov/HTML/DEMOGRAP/CPS-2002.pdf)

Table 18: Poverty Among California Children Aged 0-17 (1999)

Number of California Children Aged 0-17
Whose Household Income was Below
the Federal Poverty Level in 19991,757,100

Percentage of California Children
Aged 0-17 Whose Household Income
was Below the Federal Poverty Level in 1999.....19%

Source: Counting California (See: <http://countingcalifornia.cdlib.org>). Universe: Population for whom poverty status is determined. "Poverty" is defined by the Office of Management and Budget.

Table 19: Percentage of California Children Under Age 18 with a Driver's License (2003)

Percentage of Children Aged 16-17 With a California Driver's License by County	County	Percentage of Children Aged 16-17 With a California Driver's License by County	County
28.6%	Alameda	65.6%	Placer
62.5%	Alpine	42.6%	Plumas
45.0%	Amador	32.5%	Riverside
39.2%	Butte	31.4%	Sacramento
46.5%	Calaveras	24.1%	San Benito
34.1%	Colusa	26.7%	San Bernardino
38.1%	Contra Costa	32.4%	San Diego
19.6%	Del Norte	15.9%	San Francisco
58.2%	El Dorado	28.5%	San Joaquin
24.5%	Fresno	44.6%	San Luis Obispo
42.5%	Glenn	37.5%	San Mateo
38.0%	Humboldt	33.9%	Santa Barbara
18.2%	Imperial	30.9%	Santa Clara
44.5%	Inyo	36.7%	Santa Cruz
31.1%	Kern	48.1%	Shasta
23.4%	Kings	25.9%	Sierra
43.2%	Lake	41.1%	Siskiyou
40.0%	Lassen	35.6%	Solano
19.8%	Los Angeles	45.0%	Sonoma
26.7%	Madera	32.2%	Stanislaus
56.2%	Marin	42.7%	Sutter
44.5%	Mariposa	31.6%	Tehama
33.7%	Mendocino	35.8%	Trinity
21.1%	Merced	20.9%	Tulare
38.3%	Modoc	32.8%	Tuolumne
51.7%	Mono	38.6%	Ventura
27.9%	Monterey	37.4%	Yolo
43.0%	Napa	26.0%	Yuba
50.9%	Nevada	29.2%	California
35.0%	Orange		

Source: California Department of Motor Vehicles

Table 20: Percentage of Public K-12 Students Who Ride School Buses by State (1997-1998 School Year)*

State	Percentage of K-12 Students Bused at Public Expense	State	Percentage of K-12 Students Bused at Public Expense
Alabama	53.7%	Montana	35.5%
Alaska	32.8%	Nebraska	30.1%
Arizona	79.9%	Nevada	43.5% est.
Arkansas	70.3%	New Hampshire	71.4%
California	17.6%	New Jersey	55.6%
Colorado	40.3%	New Mexico	50.5%
Connecticut	74.7%	New York	68.8%
Delaware	84.4%	North Carolina	57.5%
District of Columbia	3.4%	North Dakota	40.9%
Florida	43.0%	Ohio	66.7%
Georgia	75.5%	Oklahoma	52.0%
Hawaii	21.5%	Oregon	41.5%
Idaho	45.4%	Pennsylvania	81.5%
Illinois	69.2%	Rhode Island	52.3%
Indiana	74.5%	South Carolina	80.3%
Iowa	51.4%	South Dakota	32.9%
Kansas	43.8%	Tennessee	62.3%
Kentucky	66.8%	Texas	34.8%
Louisiana	74.0%	Utah	35.4%
Maine	85.7%	Vermont	N/A
Maryland	72.9%	Virginia	80.1%
Massachusetts	N/A	Washington	50.4%
Michigan	N/A	West Virginia	78.6%
Minnesota	96.7%	Wisconsin	59.6%
Mississippi	79.3%	Wyoming	37.4%
Missouri	61.7%	National Average	57.2%

Source: School Transportation News (See: http://www.stnonline.com/stn/schoolbussafety/ridership/1997-98_enrollment.htm)

*Most recent available data for all states is from the 1997-1998 school year. School Transportation News relies on each state's transportation officials to provide these numbers.

Table 21: Child Pedestrian-Vehicle Collisions by County (2001)

County	Total Number of Child Pedestrian Accidents (Deaths & Injuries) (1)	Percentage of Total Pedestrian Accidents Involving Children Aged 0-17 (1)	Percentage of Children in County's Overall Population (2)	Differential
Alameda	245	30.7%	24.6%	6.1
Butte	21	33.3%	24.0%	9.3
Contra Costa	130	42.9%	26.5%	16.4
El Dorado	7	23.3%	26.1%	-2.8
Fresno	80	36.0%	32.1%	3.9
Humboldt	17	28.8%	23.2%	5.6
Imperial	18	39.1%	31.4%	7.7
Kern	108	47.8%	31.9%	15.9
Kings	14	42.4%	29.0%	13.4
Los Angeles	2,026	34.2%	28.0%	6.2
Madera	10	27.0%	29.6%	-2.6
Marin	18	20.0%	20.3%	-0.3
Mendocino	8	34.8%	25.5%	9.3
Merced	45	54.2%	34.5%	19.7
Monterey	43	39.8%	28.4%	11.4
Napa	14	35.0%	24.1%	10.9
Nevada	7	25.9%	23.1%	2.8
Orange	363	38.4%	27.0%	11.4
Placer	17	36.2%	26.5%	9.7
Riverside	166	42.2%	30.3%	11.9
Sacramento	224	38.6%	27.6%	11.0
San Benito	6	42.9%	32.2%	10.7
San Bernardino	231	43.4%	32.3%	11.1
San Diego	362	30.9%	25.7%	5.2
San Francisco	112	11.9%	14.5%	-2.6
San Joaquin	120	45.3%	31.0%	14.3
San Luis Obispo	11	30.6%	21.7%	8.9
San Mateo	72	25.2%	22.9%	2.3
Santa Barbara	57	35.8%	24.9%	10.9
Santa Clara	198	33.8%	24.7%	9.1
Santa Cruz	33	33.0%	23.8%	9.2
Shasta	12	28.6%	26.1%	2.5
Solano	60	41.4%	28.3%	13.1
Sonoma	51	34.5%	24.5%	10.0
Stanislaus	88	44.4%	31.1%	13.3
Sutter	18	64.3%	29.0%	35.3
Tulare	49	46.2%	33.8%	12.4
Ventura	105	41.5%	28.4%	13.1
Yolo	32	56.1%	25.2%	30.9
Yuba	10	50.0%	31.0%	19.0
California	5,233	34.3%	27.3%	7.0

Note: Data was unavailable for the counties not included in this table. Sources: (1) 2001 provisional numbers from the California Highway Patrol's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS); (2) U.S. Census 2000

Table 22: Motor Vehicle Accident vs. Abductions in California by County (1995-2000)

County	Number of Fatal Injuries Sustained by Children Aged 0-17 in Motor Vehicle Accidents* (1)	Number of Hospitalized Non-Fatal Injuries Sustained by Children Aged 0-17 in Motor Vehicle Accidents* (1)	Number of Witnessed Stranger Abductions of Children Aged 0-17 (2)
Alameda	72	1,143	21
Alpine	0	0	0
Amador	6	44	0
Butte	30	188	5
Calaveras	6	42	0
Colusa	5	19	0
Contra Costa	39	620	13
Del Norte	3	23	1
El Dorado	11	128	0
Fresno	129	931	14
Glenn	8	26	0
Humboldt	16	107	1
Imperial	24	164	7
Inyo	1	12	0
Kern	76	748	17
Kings	16	105	3
Lake	6	62	0
Lassen	4	8	0
Los Angeles	572	8,249	67
Madera	23	134	7
Marin	3	68	1
Mariposa	3	20	0
Mendocino	11	65	1
Merced	47	188	11
Modoc	2	8	0
Mono	2	3	0
Monterey	41	228	1
Napa	8	61	1
Nevada	9	59	0
Orange	135	2,051	17
Placer	20	202	2

Continued on next page

**Table 22: Motor Vehicle Accident vs. Abductions in California by County (1995-2000)
Continued**

County	Number of Fatal Injuries Sustained by Children Aged 0-17 in Motor Vehicle Accidents* (1)	Number of Hospitalized Non-Fatal Injuries Sustained by Children Aged 0-17 in Motor Vehicle Accidents* (1)	Number of Witnessed Stranger Abductions of Children Aged 0-17 (2)
Plumas	7	19	0
Riverside	177	1,465	17
Sacramento	103	1,456	27
San Benito	10	52	1
San Bernardino	199	1,926	21
San Diego	153	2,889	18
San Francisco	22	378	7
San Joaquin	61	533	13
San Luis Obispo	18	149	2
San Mateo	16	377	4
Santa Barbara	17	256	7
Santa Clara	74	951	11
Santa Cruz	7	134	3
Shasta	19	164	2
Sierra	0	0	0
Siskiyou	9	33	0
Solano	25	295	6
Sonoma	32	340	6
Stanislaus	48	443	7
Sutter	5	67	1
Tehama	8	53	3
Trinity	16	19	0
Tulare	48	343	6
Tuolumne	15	53	0
Ventura	40	502	8
Yolo	8	137	2
Yuba	10	77	0

Sources: (1) California Department of Health Services, Epidemiology and Prevention for Injury Control Branch (EPIC) (See: <http://www.applications.dhs.ca.gov/epicdata/TBfatal.html> and <http://www.applications.dhs.ca.gov/epicdata/TBnonfatal.html>); (2) California Office of the Attorney General, Missing Persons Section (See: http://caag.state.ca.us/missing/content/01rpt_ch.htm)

*Includes injuries sustained by both child passengers and pedestrians.

Table 23: Prevalence of Overweight Among California Teens Aged 12-17 by County (2001)

County	Percentage of Surveyed Children Aged 12-17 Reported as Overweight	County	Percentage of Surveyed Children Aged 12-17 Reported as Overweight
Alameda	9.6*	Placer	5.9*
Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono, Tuolumne	10.8*	Riverside	14.8
Butte	17.6*	Sacramento	15.8
Colusa, Glenn, Tehama	14.2*	San Bernardino	15.1
Contra Costa	4.0*	San Diego	6.9
Del Norte, Humboldt	11.4*	San Francisco	8.9
El Dorado	8.5*	San Joaquin	17.2
Fresno	14.1	San Luis Obispo	12.9*
Imperial	14.7	San Mateo	15.6*
Kern	7.0*	Santa Barbara	10.8*
Kings	14.9	Santa Clara	12.2
Lake, Mendocino	5.5*	Santa Cruz	16.6*
Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou, Trinity	10.5*	Shasta	8.1*
Los Angeles	12.5	Solano	12.6
Madera	9.4*	Sonoma	8.8*
Marin	7.7*	Stanislaus	12.8*
Merced	18.0*	Sutter, Yuba	11.6*
Monterey, San Benito	18.5	Tulare	5.9*
Napa	14.5*	Ventura	8.4
Nevada, Plumas, Sierra	10.6*	Yolo	11.1
Orange	5.9*	California	11.4

Source: 2001 California Health Information Survey (CHIS). The CHIS is conducted by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, in collaboration with the California Department of Health Services (DHS) and the Public Health Institute (PHI). Note: The 2001 CHIS found that 11.4% of California's 12- to 17- year-olds are overweight (i.e., have a Body Mass Index (BMI) above the gender- and age-specific 95th percentile), a higher rate of overweight than the 10% rate found by the 2000 California Teenage Eating, Exercise and Nutrition Survey (CalTEENS) cited in Chapter Four, which is also a self-reported survey. The 2001 CHIS numbers are cited here because they provide a county-by-county look at childhood overweight in California.

*CHIS does not recommend using the portrayed estimate for policy or planning purposes, and provides it only for the sake of offering a complete table. In technical terms, the coefficient of variation exceeded 30% and/or the number of respondents was less than five.

Table 24: Results of the 2002 California Physical Fitness Test by County

County	Percentage of 5th Graders That Passed *	Percentage of 7th Graders That Passed *	Percentage of 9th Graders That Passed *
Alameda	20.9%	21.7%	20.5%
Alpine	N/A	N/A	N/A
Amador	17.9%	29.2%	38.0%
Butte	26.7%	32.7%	29.1%
Colusa	22.2%	31.2%	23.1%
Contra Costa	28.3%	32.2%	28.3%
Del Norte	14.2%	29.8%	26.8%
El Dorado	34.1%	34.5%	35.4%
Fresno	23.5%	33.4%	22.4%
Glenn	20.6%	31.2%	31.9%
Humboldt	17.8%	23.3%	18.6%
Imperial	14.0%	13.3%	22.1%
Inyo	29.1%	16.9%	30.5%
Kern	21.7%	27.8%	20.8%
Kings	15.7%	18.6%	14.6%
Lake	17.3%	17.3%	25.7%
Lassen	12.6%	18.5%	13.4%
Los Angeles	19.4%	21.2%	16.9%
Madera	18.8%	23.8%	21.8%
Marin	31.9%	40.2%	42.5%
Mariposa	27.4%	16.8%	38.1%
Mendocino	6.3%	28.4%	29.0%
Merced	13.9%	24.9%	16.6%
Modoc	20.5%	25.2%	20.9%
Mono	13.7%	23.8%	22.7%
Monterey	17.3%	15.4%	19.7%
Napa	21.4%	29.1%	34.2%
Nevada	27.1%	41.5%	34.0%
Orange	23.4%	28.3%	26.6%
Placer	35.6%	29.3%	32.2%
Plumas	20.5%	28.7%	21.5%

Continued on next page

Table 24: Results of the 2002 California Physical Fitness Test by County (Continued)

County	Percentage of 5th Graders That Passed *	Percentage of 7th Graders That Passed*	Percentage of 9th Graders That Passed *
Riverside	24.6%	31.9%	23.6%
Sacramento	25.2%	24.5%	23.9%
San Benito	19.0%	27.0%	22.6%
San Bernardino	23.1%	23.6%	21.2%
San Diego	26.3%	30.9%	24.9%
San Francisco	14.4%	24.0%	21.1%
San Joaquin	16.7%	20.1%	17.1%
San Luis Obispo	26.8%	36.1%	31.6%
San Mateo	27.5%	29.8%	22.6%
Santa Barbara	27.6%	31.0%	28.2%
Santa Clara	21.9%	30.1%	29.9%
Santa Cruz	24.3%	27.7%	33.6%
Shasta	23.8%	26.9%	35.5%
Sierra	20.9%	41.1%	25.0%
Siskiyou	27.2%	23.5%	31.7%
Solano	25.2%	21.1%	22.8%
Sonoma	29.0%	31.6%	34.0%
Stanislaus	17.6%	26.2%	22.9%
Sutter	18.8%	24.5%	31.3%
Tehama	25.0%	27.0%	17.0%
Trinity	26.6%	40.3%	25.7%
Tulare	24.1%	26.2%	27.2%
Tuolumne	36.8%	28.9%	36.5%
Ventura	24.6%	31.1%	31.0%
Yolo	30.3%	27.5%	28.1%
Yuba	22.5%	28.5%	25.6%
California	22.3%	26.0%	22.8%

Source: California Department of Education's Standards and Assessment Division (See: <http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/page2.asp?subject=FitTest&level=County&submit1=Submit>)

*To pass, students must meet all six of the test's fitness standards (aerobic capacity, body composition, abdominal strength, trunk extension strength, upper body strength and flexibility). Of the 1,265,546 students who participated in this test in 2002, just 298,476 (or 23.6%) met all six standards.

Table 25: Percentage of California Children Aged 0-17 Diagnosed with Asthma by County (2001)

County	Percentage of Children Aged 0-17 Diagnosed with Asthma	County	Percentage of Children Aged 0-17 Diagnosed with Asthma
Alameda	15.6	Placer	16.6
Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono, Tuolumne	11.8	Riverside	12.0
Butte	14.1	Sacramento	16.8
Colusa , Glenn, Tehama	10.1	San Bernardino	16.3
Contra Costa	16.0	San Diego	14.7
Del Norte, Humboldt	13.5	San Francisco	13.4
El Dorado	12.3	San Joaquin	12.5
Fresno	21.0	San Luis Obispo	19.3
Imperial	15.5	San Mateo	10.9
Kern	14.5	Santa Barbara	10.0
Kings	20.5	Santa Clara	14.1
Lake, Mendocino	13.4	Santa Cruz	14.8
Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou, Trinity	9.5	Shasta	15.4
Los Angeles	12.0	Solano	21.3
Madera	14.1	Sonoma	15.4
Marin	18.5	Stanislaus	13.6
Merced	15.9	Sutter, Yuba	13.9
Monterey, San Benito	12.2	Tulare	13.6
Napa	14.3	Ventura	12.3
Nevada, Plumas, Sierra	11.5	Yolo	16.6
Orange	10.5	California	13.6

Source: 2001 California Health Information Survey (CHIS)